



(Point)

Methods: Estimation (Methods of Evaluating Estimators)

1° Luck (Gr) Def: $X \sim p(x; \theta)$, $\theta \in \Theta$, statistic $T(X)$ is unbiased

2° Solve (Gr) for $g(\theta)$ if $E_{\theta} T(X) = g(\theta)$, $\forall \theta \in \Theta$

3° Conditional (L-S) Thm: Given $MSE_{\theta} T(X) \equiv E_{\theta} (T(X) - g(\theta))^2$, then

4° Information Inequality $MSE_{\theta} (T(X)) = Var_{\theta} T(X) + Bias_{\theta}^2 T(X)$
5° Linear dependence where $Bias_{\theta} T(X) \equiv E_{\theta} T(X) - g(\theta)$.

Def: Estimator $S(X)$ is uniformly minimum variance, unbiased for $g(\theta)$, if $E_{\theta} S(X) = g(\theta)$ and (UMVU)

$Var_{\theta} S(X) \leq Var_{\theta} U(X)$, \forall unbiased $U(X)$ of $g(\theta)$, $\forall \theta \in \Theta$.

Notes: 1° May not exist

$E_{\theta} g(X) = ?$ $X \sim$ ~~Binomial~~ Binomial (n, θ) , there's no unbiased estimator for $g(\theta) = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$. This place supposed ~~$T(X) = g(X)$~~
2° May not well behaved $X \sim$ Poisson (θ) , the UMVU estimator is $g(X) = (-1)^X$

Lemma: r.v. X satisfies $EX^2 < \infty$, then

$Var(E(X|Y)) \leq Var X$, \forall r.v. Y .

{proof: $Var X = E(Var(X|Y)) + Var(E(X|Y)) \geq Var(E(X|Y))$. \square .

Thm: (Rao-Blackwell Theorem)

If $T(X)$ is sufficient for θ , and ~~$S(X)$~~ $S(X)$ is ~~an estimator~~ ^{an estimator} for $g(\theta)$, with $E_{\theta} |S(X)| < \infty$, $\forall \theta \in \Theta$. Let $S^*(X) \equiv E(S(X)|T(X))$, then $E_{\theta} (S^*(X) - g(\theta))^2 \leq E_{\theta} (S(X) - g(\theta))^2$

proof:
$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bias}_\theta S^*(X) &= E_\theta S^*(X) - q(\theta) \\ &= E_\theta (E(S(X) | T(X))) - q(\theta) \\ &= E_\theta S(X) - q(\theta) \\ &= \text{Bias}_\theta S(X) \\ \text{Var}_\theta S^*(X) &= \text{Var}_\theta (E(S(X) | T(X))) \leq \text{Var}_\theta S(X) \\ \therefore \text{MSE}_\theta S^*(X) &\leq \text{MSE}_\theta S(X). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Note: X_1, \dots, X_n iid $N(\mu, 1)$
 $S(X) = X_1$ is ~~sufficient~~ unbiased for μ .
 $T(X) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is ~~unbiased~~ sufficient for μ .

Then
$$\begin{aligned} S^*(X) &= E(X_1 | \sum_{i=1}^n X_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} E(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i | \sum_{i=1}^n X_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \\ &= \bar{X} \end{aligned}$$

Thm: (Lehmann-Scheffe Theorem)

Let $T(X)$ be a complete, sufficient statistic for θ ,
 let $S(X)$ be unbiased for $q(\theta)$, then
 $S^*(X) = E(S(X) | T(X))$ is UMVU for $q(\theta)$

proof:
$$\begin{aligned} E_\theta S^*(X) &= E_\theta S(X) = q(\theta) \\ \therefore S^*(X) &\text{ is unbiased for } q(\theta). \\ \forall \text{ unbiased estimator } U(X) &\text{ for } q(\theta), \\ \text{let } g_1(T(X)) &\equiv E(U(X) | T(X)) \\ g_2(T(X)) &\equiv E(S(X) | T(X)) \\ g(T(X)) &= g_1(T(X)) - g_2(T(X)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{\theta} g(T(X)) &= E_{\theta} g_1(T(X)) - E_{\theta} g_2(T(X)) \\
 &= E_{\theta} U(X) - E_{\theta} S(X) \\
 &= q(\theta) - q(\theta) \\
 &= 0 \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta
 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore T(X)$ is complete

$$\therefore g(t) = 0, \text{ i.e. } E(S(X) | T(X)) = E(U(X) | T(X))$$

$$\therefore \text{Var}_{\theta} S^*(X) = \text{Var}_{\theta} E(S(X) | T(X)) = \text{Var}_{\theta} E(U(X) | T(X)) \leq \text{Var}_{\theta} U(X)$$

$\therefore S^*(X)$ is UMVU for $q(\theta)$. □

Cor: If $S(X)$ is a function of a complete, sufficient statistic, it is UMVU of its expectation.

Note: $1^{\circ} \bar{X}, S^2$ are functions of complete, sufficient statistic

(Luck) $(T_1(X), T_2(X)) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2 \right)$ for $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$, given $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$.

Hence, \bar{X} and S^2 are UMVU for μ and σ^2 resp.

(Luck) $2^{\circ} E_{\theta} \left(\bar{X}^2 - \frac{S^2}{n} \right) = \mu^2 + \frac{\sigma^2}{n} - \frac{\sigma^2}{n} = \mu^2$
Hence $\bar{X}^2 - \frac{S^2}{n}$ is UMVU for μ^2 .

(Luck) $3^{\circ} X_{(n)}$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ , given $X \sim U(0, \theta)$.
Since $E_{\theta} \frac{n+1}{n} X_{(n)} = \theta$, then $\frac{n+1}{n} X_{(n)}$ is UMVU for θ .

(Luck) $4^{\circ} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a complete sufficient statistic for θ , given $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta)$.
Since $E_{\theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \theta$, then $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is UMVU for θ .

(Solve) 5° (As in 4°) Look for UMVU estimator for $\text{Var} X = \theta(1-\theta)$.
Let $E_{\theta} g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \theta(1-\theta)$, then
$$\sum_{k=0}^n g(k) \binom{n}{k} \theta^k (1-\theta)^{n-k} = \theta(1-\theta)$$

Substitute $\eta = \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$, we get $\sum_{k=0}^n g(k) \binom{n}{k} \eta^k = \eta(1+\eta)^{n-2}$

By comparing parameters, we find $g(k) = \frac{k(n-k)}{n(n-1)}$ ($k=0, \dots, n$)

(Conditional) X_1, \dots, X_n iid Exponential (λ),

$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a ~~complete~~ complete sufficient statistic for λ ,

Look for UMVU estimator for $g(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda t}$.

Since $E \mathbb{I}(X_1 > t) = P(X_1 > t) = e^{-\lambda t}$, $\mathbb{I}(X_1 > t)$ is an unbiased estimator of $g(\lambda)$.

Then $E(\mathbb{I}(X_1 > t) | \sum_{i=1}^n X_i)$ is UMVU for $g(\lambda)$.

$$\therefore E(\mathbb{I}(X_1 > t) | \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = s)$$

$$= P(X_1 > t | \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = s)$$

$$= P\left(\frac{X_1}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} > \frac{t}{s} \mid \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = s\right)$$

$$= P\left(\frac{X_1}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} > \frac{t}{s}\right) \quad \left(\because \left(\frac{S_1}{S_n}, \dots, \frac{S_{n-1}}{S_n}\right) \perp\!\!\!\perp S_n\right)$$

$$= P\left(U_{(1, n-1)} > \frac{t}{s}\right) \quad \left(\because \left(\frac{S_1}{S_n}, \dots, \frac{S_{n-1}}{S_n}\right) \sim (U_{(1,1)}, \dots, U_{(n-1)})\right)$$

$$= \left(1 - \frac{t}{s}\right)^{n-1}$$

$\therefore \left(1 - \frac{t}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}\right)^{n-1}$ is UMVU for $g(\lambda) = e^{-\lambda t}$.

Note: $\left(1 - \frac{t}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}\right)^{n-1} \xrightarrow{P} e^{-\lambda t}$, so it's consistent.