

0

# Limit Theorems

## (1) Laws of Large numbers

Thm: (Weak Law of Large Numbers, WLLN) 1°

Let  $\{X_i\}$  be ~~uncorrelated~~ <sup>with same  $\mu, \sigma^2$</sup> ,  $X_i \in \mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ ,  $\bar{X}_n \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  
then  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mu$ .

proof:  $\forall a > 0, \mathbb{P}\{|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \geq a\} \leq \frac{\sigma^2}{na^2}$   
 $\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma^2}{na^2} = 0$  (Chebychev's ineq)  
 $\therefore \forall a > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\{|\bar{X}_n - \mu| \geq a\} = 0$   
 $\therefore \bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mu \quad \square$

Thm: (Weak Law of Large Numbers, WLLN) 2°

Let  $\{X_i\}$  be iid,  $X_i \in \mathcal{L}_1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ ,  $\bar{X}_n \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,  
then  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \mu$ .

proof:  $\Phi_{\bar{X}_n}(\omega) = \left[ \Phi_X\left(\frac{\omega}{n}\right) \right]^n$   
 $= \left[ 1 + i\frac{\omega}{n}\mu + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right]^n$   
 $\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\bar{X}_n}(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ 1 + i\frac{\omega}{n}\mu + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right]^n = e^{i\omega\mu} \equiv \Phi_X(\omega)$

Take Fourier transform of  $\Phi_X(\omega)$ , we know  $e^{i\omega\mu}$  corresponds to pdf  $\delta(x-\mu)$ , or cdf  $\mathcal{U}(x-\mu)$ .

$$\because \forall w \in \mathbb{R}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\bar{X}_n}(w) = \Phi_X(w) \iff F_{\bar{X}_n}(z) \xrightarrow{w} F_X(z) \quad (\text{weak convergence})$$

$$\therefore F_{\bar{X}_n}(z) \xrightarrow{d} U(z-\mu), \text{ i.e. } \bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{d} \mu$$

Since it's a degenerate case, i.e.  $\mu$  is a constant,

we have  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mu$ .  $\square$ .

Note: 1° Stronger versions of WLLN require  $X_i \in L_2$ , which is still applicable in most practical situations.

Thm: (Strong Law of Large Numbers, SLLN) (Kolmogorov)

Let  $\{X_i\}$  be iid. r.v.'s in  $L_1(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, P)$ ,  $\bar{X}_n \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ ,

then  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mu$ .

Note: 1° LLNs ties together prob. space  $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, P)$  with relative frequency approach.

2° If  $X_i \sim \text{Cauchy}(0,1)$ , then  $\bar{X}_n \rightarrow \text{Cauchy}(0,1)$

(2) Central limit theorems.

Thm: (Central Limit theorem, CLT) (Lindeberg-Lévy)

Let  $\{X_i\}$  be iid r.v.'s in  $L_2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, P)$ ,

then

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

proof: Denote  $Z_n = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)$ ,

$$\text{then } \Phi_{Z_n}(w) = E\left\{ \exp\left[ i w \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i - \mu}{\sqrt{n}} \right] \right\}$$

$$= \left[ \Phi_{(X_i - \mu)}\left(\frac{w}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \right]^n$$

$$= \left[ 1 - \frac{w^2}{2n} \sigma^2 + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right]^n$$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{Z_n}(w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ 1 - \frac{w^2 \sigma^2}{2n} + o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \right]^{\frac{2n}{w^2 \sigma^2} \cdot \left(\frac{w^2 \sigma^2}{2}\right)}$$

$$= e^{-\frac{w^2 \sigma^2}{2}} \equiv \Phi_Z(w)$$

Take Fourier transform of  $\Phi_Z(w)$ , we get  $e^{-\frac{\sigma^2 w^2}{2}}$  corresponds to  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ .

$\therefore \forall w \in \mathbb{R}, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{Z_n}(w) = \Phi_Z(w) \iff F_{Z_n}(z) \xrightarrow{w} F_Z(z)$

$\iff F_{Z_n}(z) \xrightarrow{d} F_Z(z)$

$\therefore Z_n = \sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2) \quad \square.$

Thm: (Central Limit Theorem) (Lindeberg-Feller)

Let  $\{X_i\}$  be independent r.v.'s in  $\mathcal{L}_2(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, P)$ ,

then  $\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2)$ , if the Lindeberg condition:  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\{|z - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i| > \epsilon \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_i^2}\}} (z - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i)^2 dF_{X_i}(z) = 0$  holds.

Thm: (Central Limit Theorem) (Berry-Essen)

Let  $\{X_i\}$  be iid r.v.'s in  $\mathcal{L}_3(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}, P)$ ,

then  $\sup_{z \in \mathbb{R}} |F_{Z_n}(z) - F_Z(z)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}} \cdot \frac{E|X_1 - \mu|^3}{\sigma^3}$

where  $Z_n \equiv \sqrt{n}(\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma})$ ,  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$

$C$  is a constant and  $C \in [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, 0.8)$

Note: 1° CLTs are often used to justify the approx. of a finite sum of r.v.'s by a Gaussian r.v.

2° The Berry-Essen CLT provides the accuracy of approx.

3° With current computing capacity, the importance of approx's like CLT is somewhat lessened.

⊙ (3) Other limit theorems

Thm: If  $X_i \xrightarrow{P} X$ ,  $h(\cdot)$  is a continuous fn. on the range of  $\{X_i\}$  and  $X$ .  
(Continuous mapping thm) then  $h(X_i) \xrightarrow{P} h(X)$ . <sup>mss</sup>

Note: Also holds for d, a.s. convergence.

Thm: (Slutsky's theorem)

If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ ,  $Y_n \xrightarrow{P} a$ ,

then a)  $Y_n X_n \xrightarrow{d} aX$

b)  $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + a$